

## The Random-Walk Hypothesis

---

- due to Robert Hall (1978)
- based on Fisher's model & PIH, in which forward-looking consumers base consumption on expected future income
- Hall adds the assumption of **rational expectations**, that people use all available information to forecast future variables like income.

**Robert Hall** was the first to derive the implications of rational expectations for consumption.

=> changes in consumption over time should be unpredictable

– follow a "Random Walk"

=> changes in consumption reflect "surprises" about lifetime income.

## Rational Expectations & Random-Walk Consumption

Forward-looking consumers base their consumption decisions not only on their current income but also on the income they expect to receive in the future.

Rational expectation

People will make optimal forecasts about the future.

# Determinants of Consumption Function

- Wealth & Distribution of Wealth
- Relative Income =>
  1. Current income relative to past income ( $Y/Y_{mp}$ ).
  2. Own income relative to average income of the neighbourhood.  
(Demonstration / Bandwagon Effect)

## Determinants (cont...)

- Interest Rate
- Credit availability
- Consumer's expectations

# Consumption Function

$$C = f \{ Y, W, Y/Y_{mp}, i, CA, CE, IWD, \mu \}$$

$Y$  = income

$W$  = wealth

$Y_{mp}$  = maximum past income

$i$  = interest rate

$CA$  = ease of credit availability

$CE$  = consumer's expectations

$IWD$  = inequality of income/ wealth distribution

$\mu$  = unknown/other factors

V. Robert Hall's Random-Walk Hypothesis:-

- a. Random walk model is based on Fisher's model and PIH, i.e., forward looking consumers base their current consumption decision on their expected future income.
- b. RW model adds another assumption to these hypotheses, called as *rational expectation*, i.e., consumers use all available information to forecast future income.
- c. Thus, as RW model, if PIH is correct and consumers have rational expectations, then consumption should follow a *random walk*, i.e., the changes in consumption should be unpredictable. Any change in income that was *anticipated* has already been factored into expected permanent income, so consumption wouldn't change. The consumption will change only because of *unanticipated* changes in wealth or income.